

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,651	10/31/2005	Charles Mark Lindall	JMYS-128US	5162
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 09/25/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 980		MCDONOUGH, JAMES E		
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/537,651	LINDALL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES E. MCDONOUGH	1793		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	ALC OILL	1
		JAMES E. MCDONOUGH	1793	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Sinsons of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication, period for may be specified above, the maximum statutory period to period for may be specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply specified by the office is later than three months after the making departner time displantment. See 3° CFR 1.74(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 2005.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachus	14(2)			
Attachmer	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) V Infor	mation Biochesiae Statemento (FTR/SE/re)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/6/05.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,651

Art Unit: 1793

### DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a catalyst composition.

Group II, claim(s) 7-15, drawn to a process for making an ester.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Ridland et al. (EP-1-120-392-A1) teaches a catalyst composition comprising the reaction product of a orthoester of titanium or zirconium, an alcohol containing at least two hydroxyl groups, a 2-hydroxy acid, and a base (abstract). Ridland et al. also teaches 1-4 moles of 2-hydroxy acid per mole of metal and 1-4 moles of base per mole of metal (see claims 7 and 9), which can read on the claimed ratio.

A telephone call was made to Christopher R. Lewis on 9/20/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,651

Art Unit: 1793

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. 

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Art Unit: 1793

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES E. MCDONOUGH whose telephone number is (571)272-6398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,651 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael A Marcheschi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

JEM 9/20/2008